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Abstract. A novel method is presented which allows a fast computation of complex energy resonance states in Stark systems, i.e. systems in a homogeneous field. The technique is based on the truncation of a shift-operator in momentum space. Numerical results for space periodic and non-periodic systems illustrate the extreme simplicity of the method.

Systems in static homogeneous fields appear in many cases in atomic, molecular and solid state physics [1–3]. At present, one observes a renewed interest stimulated by dynamical studies of systems, where in addition to the static field the system is affected by strong time-dependent fields [4–6].

In this letter, we confine ourselves to the discussion of a new and extremely simple method for computing complex energy resonance states in such systems. In previous studies complex energy resonances in Stark systems have been almost exclusively calculated by means of complex scaling techniques (see, e.g., [1, 7]). Here we present an alternative method, which seems to be conceptually different and in several aspects simpler in numerical applications.

To be specific, we discuss as an illustrating example a system with a single degree of freedom

\[ H = H_0 + f x = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(x) + f x \]

with \( V(x + L) = V(x) \) (1)

i.e. a periodic potential in a static field \((f > 0)\). This set-up is well known in solid state physics, but is also of interest in recent studies of atoms interacting with standing wave fields. In the latter case, the potential is also often modulated in time, e.g. time periodic. We comment on this time-dependent case in the concluding remarks below.

It is well known that the field term \( f x \) in the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

\[ i\hbar \partial_t |\psi(t)\rangle = H |\psi(t)\rangle \]

can be removed by a gauge transformation to the momentum frame

\[ |\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-if\pi \hbar} |\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = S(t) |\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle \]

where

\[ i\hbar \partial_t |\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = \tilde{H}_0(t) |\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle \]

with the Hamiltonian

\[ \tilde{H}_0(t) = S(t)^\dagger H_0 S(t) = \frac{1}{2} (p - f t)^2 + V(x) \]
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which is explicitly time dependent. We note, that $S(t)$ acts as a shift-operator in momentum space ($p = \hbar k$):

$$S(t)|k\rangle = |k - ft/\hbar\rangle. \quad (6)$$

The time evolution operators $U$ and $\tilde{U}$ for a time interval $(0, T)$ are related by

$$U(T, 0) = S(T)\tilde{U}(T, 0). \quad (7)$$

In addition one can easily see that

$$\tilde{U}(\nu T, 0) = e^{+i\nu fT/\hbar} [S(T)\tilde{U}(T, 0)]^\nu$$

(8)

and, of course,

$$U(\nu T, 0) = U^\nu(T, 0) \quad (9)$$

because the Hamiltonian (1) is time independent.

The Hamiltonian (5) is periodic in space. But as the displacement operator $D(L) = \exp(L\partial/\partial x)$ over a period $L$ does not commute with the shift operator $S(T)$, generally $U(T, 0)$ and $D(L)$ do not commute. We have

$$S(T)D(L) = e^{-ifLT/\hbar}D(L)S(T)$$

(10)

and the commutator is

$$[S(T), D(L)] = (1 - e^{ifLT/\hbar})e^{-ifLT/\hbar}D(L). \quad (11)$$

We observe that both operators commute if the condition

$$fTL/\hbar = 2\pi q \quad q = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

(12)

is satisfied.

In the following we choose $q = 1$, i.e. a time $T = 2\pi\hbar/fL$, the so-called Bloch period. In this case $[D(L), U(T, 0)] = 0$ and Floquet–Bloch theory can be applied to construct the resonance states, i.e. the eigenstates of the Floquet operator satisfying

$$U(T, 0)|\psi_\alpha\rangle = \lambda_\alpha|\psi_\alpha\rangle = e^{-i\epsilon_\alpha T/\hbar}|\psi_\alpha\rangle$$

(13)

with purely outgoing boundary conditions, i.e. we are interested in solutions, which vanish for $x \rightarrow +\infty$ and are purely outgoing for $x \rightarrow -\infty$. These are resonance states with complex resonance energies $\epsilon_\alpha = E_\alpha - i\Gamma_\alpha/2$ and decay as

$$\psi_\alpha(nT) = e^{-i\epsilon_\alpha T/\hbar}|\psi_\alpha\rangle$$

(14)

with a lifetime $\tau = \hbar/\Gamma_\alpha$. We point out, that the quasi-energy resonances are defined modulo $2\pi\hbar/T = fL$ and we take representative values in the first ‘Brillouin zone’, where the real part of $\epsilon_\alpha$ is in the interval $[-fL/2, +fL/2]$.

It is convenient to carry out the calculations in the momentum representation with an equidistant set of plane wave basis states

$$\langle x|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}e^{in\Delta kx} \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$$

(15)

with

$$\Delta k = fT/\hbar = 2\pi/L. \quad (16)$$

The shift-matrix is

$$\langle m|S(T)|n\rangle = \langle m|n - 1\rangle = \delta_{m,n-1}$$

(17)
where the plane wave states are normalized to unity in a period $L$. Numerically, the time evolution matrix $\tilde{U}(T, 0)$ is calculated by, e.g.,

$$\tilde{U}(T, 0) \approx \prod_{j=1}^{J} \exp[-i\tilde{H}_0(t_j - \Delta t/2)\Delta t/\hbar] \tag{18}$$

(with $t_j = j\Delta t = jT/J$) or any other appropriate method.

We now look at the effect of finite basis sets, i.e. of truncating the matrices $S(T)$ and $\tilde{U}(T, 0)$ at $|n| \leq N$. First we observe, that the $(2N + 1) \times (2N + 1)$-matrix $S(T)$ has non-zero entries only on a diagonal, which is shifted by one unit to the upper right. A direct consequence of the truncation is that the eigenvectors $|\psi_a\rangle$ of the truncated system $U(T, 0) = S(T)\tilde{U}(T, 0)$ automatically satisfy the boundary condition for the resonances states in momentum space, i.e. the components are zero at $k = -\Delta kn_{\text{max}}$.

Then the eigenvalues $\lambda_a$ and eigenvectors of the Floquet matrix $F(T) = U(T, 0)$ yield the resonance energies

$$\epsilon_a = E_a - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma = \frac{i}{T} \ln \lambda_a \tag{19}$$

more precisely, the desired resonance energies are found among the $2N + 1$ eigenvalues of the truncated matrix, typically those energies $\epsilon_a$ with the smallest imaginary parts.

Before discussing further details, we will present results of numerical calculations for an illustrating model system

$$H = H_0 + f x = \frac{p^2}{2} + \cos x + fx \tag{20}$$

with parameters $\hbar = 0.5$ and $f = 0.2$, i.e. Bloch period $T = 2.5$. In this case, the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}_0$ are

$$\langle m | H_0 | n \rangle = (n\hbar \Delta k - ft)^2 \delta_{mn}/2 + V_{mn} \tag{21}$$

with

$$V_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{m,n+1} + \delta_{m,n-1}) \tag{22}$$

For the time propagation (18) $J = 256$ steps are used and the matrices are truncated at $N = 30$. It is instructive to look at the iterates $U^\nu(T, 0) = U(\nu T, 0)$ of the matrix $U(T, 0)$. Initially, this matrix is almost diagonal. With increasing $\nu$, due to the static field this diagonal contribution moves to the upper right (in the direction of the outgoing wave) until it disappears for $\nu > N$. In contrast to this the contribution of the resonance states is not shifted by the external field but stays in the centre of the matrix. Figure 1 shows an image of the $U^\nu(T, 0)$ for $\nu = 20$ (dark regions correspond to large values of the matrix elements), where the shifted diagonal and the contributions of the resonances are clearly visible.

In order to find the complex energy resonances, we now compute the eigenvalues $\lambda_a$ of $U(T, 0)$. This yields 61 eigenvalues $\epsilon_a$. For large enough $N$, we expect the ‘true’ resonances to be stable with respect to an increase of $N$. In order to explore this behaviour, we have repeated the computation for $N = 40$ and 50. The results are shown simultaneously in figure 2 in the complex $\lambda = \exp(-i\epsilon)$ plane. First, because all resonances are decaying states, the $\lambda_a$ appear inside the unit disc $\lambda_a \leq 1$. Secondly, we observe a number of resonances (the ‘true’ ones), which are identical in all three cases. The other ones are distributed in the vicinity of a radius $|\lambda| \approx 0.4$ and appear otherwise quite erratic. With increasing $N$ more of these ‘false’ resonances appear (in addition, more very unstable ‘true’ ones may be detected). The two classes can be quite easily distinguished because the ‘false’ ones are very sensitive against any variation of $N$ or other system parameters, as, e.g., the number of time intervals chosen for the numerical computation of $\tilde{U}(T, 0)$.
Figure 1. Image of the matrix $U^\nu(T, 0) = U(\nu T, 0) (\nu = 20, N = 30)$ for the periodic potential (20). Dark regions mark large values of the matrix elements. The resonances manifest inside the dark region in the centre.

Figure 2. Complex energy resonances $\epsilon_\alpha$ for the periodic potential (20) for $f = 0.2, \hbar = 0.5$ in the complex $\lambda = \exp(-i\epsilon T/\hbar)$ plane (for a clearer presentation the radial coordinate is scaled as $|\lambda|^{1/2}$). Results obtained from different values of the $N$ are shown simultaneously ($N = 30 (<)$, $N = 40 (>)$, $N = 50 (\triangle)$). The ‘true’ resonances are identified by coincidence.

In table 1 12 ‘true’ eigenvalues are listed in comparison with resonance energies obtained by means of exterior complex scaling [8] (the real part is chosen in the first Brillouin zone $[-f\pi, f\pi]$). The agreement is excellent. In addition, we wish to emphasize the simplicity of the computational encoding and the reliability of the method even for small basis sets $N$ and only few time steps $J$. The following MATLAB program:
Table 1. Resonances for the system (20) ($\hbar = 0.5, f = 0.2$) in comparison with results by exterior complex scaling (cs) [8]. The 12 most stable resonances are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$E_{\alpha}$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_{\alpha}/2$</th>
<th>$E_{\alpha}^{cs}$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_{\alpha}^{cs}/2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.152 867 70</td>
<td>-3.236 3851e-09</td>
<td>-0.152 867 71</td>
<td>-3.236 3488e-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.304 827 23</td>
<td>-2.363 0620e-06</td>
<td>0.304 827 22</td>
<td>-2.363 0619e-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.541 099 34</td>
<td>-6.049 2101e-04</td>
<td>-0.541 099 35</td>
<td>-6.049 2101e-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.202 122 14</td>
<td>-3.881 7721e-01</td>
<td>-0.202 122 15</td>
<td>-3.881 7729e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.108 234 40</td>
<td>-1.308 1073e-01</td>
<td>0.108 234 39</td>
<td>-1.308 1072e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.450 543 23</td>
<td>-2.693 2098e-01</td>
<td>0.450 543 19</td>
<td>-2.693 2097e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.436 565 84</td>
<td>-3.881 7729e-01</td>
<td>-0.436 565 79</td>
<td>-3.881 7729e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.006 576 71</td>
<td>-4.785 6857e-01</td>
<td>-0.006 576 64</td>
<td>-4.785 6836e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.482 748 13</td>
<td>-5.630 8779e-01</td>
<td>0.482 742 15</td>
<td>-5.630 9761e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.325 339 02</td>
<td>-6.276 9474e-01</td>
<td>-0.325 458 33</td>
<td>-6.276 6418e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.299 984 51</td>
<td>-6.283 6674e-01</td>
<td>0.299 923 08</td>
<td>-6.283 5297e-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.160 910 33</td>
<td>-6.540 6891e-01</td>
<td>-0.160 712 72</td>
<td>-6.539 3565e-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As an example, the wavefunctions $|x|\Psi_{\alpha}(0)\rangle$ of the four most stable resonances of the system (20) are shown in figure 3.

In the rest of the paper we discuss a possible extension of the proposed method. Though designed for space periodic Hamiltonians $H_0$, the method can also be applied to non-periodic

---

| $f=0.2$; $\hbar=0.5$; $J=5$; $N=10$; $M=2*N+1$; $n=1:M$; $p=hbar*(n-N-1)$; $U=eye(M)$; $d=0.5*ones(1,M-1)$; |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| for $j=1:J$; $h=(p-hbar*(j-0.5)/J ).^2/2$; $U=expm(-i*(diag(h,0)+diag(d,-1)+diag(d,1))/J/f)*U$; |
| end; $S=spdiags(ones(M,1),1,M,M)$; $D=eig(S*U)$; $D(length(D))=[]$; $D= i*log(D)$; $[a,In]=sort(-imag(D))$; $E = D(In)*f$ |

uses $N = 10$ and $J = 5$ and produces resonances (ordered with respect to increasing imaginary part), while the first six resonances are already in good agreement with the converged ones listed in the table (e.g. one obtains $\epsilon_5 = 0.450 59 - i 0.269 22$ compared with the exact result $\epsilon_5 = 0.450 543 23 - i 0.269 320 98$).

The discussed method also suggests a simple calculation of the eigenstates associated with the complex energies $\epsilon_{\alpha}$. Using the Floquet time-dependent eigenstates

$$U(t, 0)|\psi_{\alpha}(0)\rangle = e^{-i\epsilon_{\alpha}t/\hbar}|\psi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle$$

the resonance wavefunction is calculated by integration over one Bloch period,

$$|\Psi_{\alpha}(0)\rangle = \int_0^T dt' e^{i\epsilon_{\alpha}t'/\hbar}U(t', 0)|\psi_{\alpha}(0)\rangle = \int_0^T dt' |\psi_{\alpha}(t')\rangle.$$  \(23\)

In fact, $|\Psi_{\alpha}(0)\rangle$ solves the time-independent Schrödinger equation which follows from

$$|\Psi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle = \int_0^T dt' e^{i\epsilon_{\alpha}t'/\hbar}U(t + t', 0)|\psi_{\alpha}(0)\rangle = e^{-i\epsilon_{\alpha}t/\hbar}|\Psi_{\alpha}(0)\rangle.$$  \(25\)

As an example, the wavefunctions $|x|\Psi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle$ of the four most stable resonances of the system (20) are shown in figure 3.

In the rest of the paper we discuss a possible extension of the proposed method. Though designed for space periodic Hamiltonians $H_0$, the method can also be applied to non-periodic
ones with $V(x) \to 0$ for $|x| \to \infty$, provided that their Fourier transform exists. In the plane wave basis (15) with a fixed value of $\Delta k$, the system is periodic in the periodicity interval $-L/2 \leq x \leq L/2$ with $L = 2\pi/\Delta k$. If $\Delta k$ is chosen small enough, the effects of this artificial periodicity will be negligible.

As an example, we calculate the resonances for the Gaussian well

$$H = H_0 + fx = \frac{p^2}{2} - Ae^{-x^2} + fx$$

with $A = 4.5$, $f = 1.0$ and $\hbar = 1.0$, which has been studied using complex scaling techniques [1]. Here, the matrix elements (22) must be replaced by

$$V_{m,n} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{L} e^{-(n-m)^2 \Delta k^2/4}.$$ 

In the computation we used $\Delta k = \frac{1}{7}$ and $N = 45$. The three most stable resonances are obtained as $\epsilon_0 = -3.2978304 - i4.467066 \times 10^{-4}$, $\epsilon_1 = -1.460431 - i3.48173 \times 10^{-1}$ and $\epsilon_2 = +3.01610 - i9.392 \times 10^{-1}$. The lowest one was reported earlier [1] as $\epsilon_0 = -3.297830 - i4.467 \times 10^{-4}$, in good agreement with the present result.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the truncated shift-matrix technique offers a useful tool for calculating resonances in periodic or non-periodic systems in homogeneous fields. The method can also be applied to systems with more than one degree of freedom. In addition, we would like to point out, that it is also possible to treat explicitly time periodic systems in the same manner, provided that the Bloch period is an integer multiple of the time period. More detailed studies will be reported elsewhere [9].
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